

KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warangai - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (AdjAUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE uncler Kehatiya University, Warangal) కారతీయ సొంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం. మండత -404.014.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-02-7007

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | K·RAHUL |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Department | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| Academic Year | 2020-202 1 |
| Semester | VIII |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|---|
| Agree | V |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| C. Applica | bility/relevance to real life s | ituations | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| D. Depth of | the course content | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | | | |
| l | Excellent | | |
| E. Extent of | the coverage of course | | |
| Γ | Poor | | |
| <u> </u> | Fair | | |
| - | | | |
| - | Average | | |
| - | Good | | |
| L | Excellent | | |
| solution | Poor | | 1 |
| | Fair | | |
| | | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| I | Excellent | | |
| | for implementation in pro | pjects | _ |
| | oor | | |
| F | air | | |
| A | verage | | - |
| | Good | | - |
| | | | _ |
| E | xcellent | | |
| H. Compatibil | ity with industry standar | ·ds | _ |
| P | oor | | ٦ |
| | air | | _ |
| | | | |
| | verage | | |
| | Good | 1 | |
| E | xcellent | | _ |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | The same of the sa |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

-Nil

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warmer - 500 (05 Thingsine, INDIA (Argunovica)com INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warnanjal) පාර්ම්ණ බිංගම්පීර නම්පූත් පුතු කියාලපණාග, කරන් – 404 084.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-02-2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | Y. LAKSHMI REDDY | |
|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Department | CIVIL EMGINIEERING | |
| Academic Year | 2020-21- | |
| Semester | MIII | |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| | Fair | | - |
|-------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | - |
| | Excellent | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| D. | Depth of the course content | | |
| | Poor | T= |] |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | Excellent | | I |
| | | | |
| E. 1 | Extent of the coverage of course | | |
| 2 | entering of the coverage of course | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | | | |
| F. S | Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse | the enginee | ring problems and its suitable |
| | colution | O | 01 |
| | | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | - | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| G. Re | elevance for implementation in projects | | |
| | 1 , | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | - | |
| | Average | | |

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor

Good Excellent

Poor
Fair
Average
Good
Excellent

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Scanned with CamScanner

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

-NIL-

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Werangal-506 DIS, Telangana, INDIA. (Ag AUTIONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, మందల్ -406 074.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-02-202)

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | SITULASI | |
|---------------|-------------------|--|
| Department | CIVIL ENGINEERING | |
| Academic Year | 2020-21 | |
| Semester | VIII | |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|---|
| Agree | ~ |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| C. | Applicability/ | relevance to real | Hife situations |
|----|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|----|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | ~ |

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | V |
| Excellent | |

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | V |

H. Compatibility with industry standards

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |
| | |

| Poor | | 1 |
|-----------|-----|---|
| Fau | | |
| Average | | Í |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | 1 · | |

1. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)



Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.





FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02 - 02 - 2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | U. Annsha |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Department | Civil-Engineering |
| Academic Year | 20 -21 |
| Semester | gth . |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

Page 1 of 3

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

H. Compatibility with industry standards

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |
| | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 21-03-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | V |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alunni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | M. Sniettza. |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Department | Civil Engineering |
| Academic Year | 2020-2021 |
| Semester | Brech VIII Sem |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| | Poor | | |
|-------------|--|----------------|---------|
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | | | |
| tent of | the coverage of course | | |
| acit oi | the coverage of course | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| | | | |
| | Good | | |
| ution | Excellent s sufficient to make you a | | |
| ution | Excellent s sufficient to make you a | | |
| ution | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair | | |
| ution | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average | nalyse the eng | |
| ution | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average Good | | |
| on | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average | nalyse the eng | |
| ution | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average Good | nalyse the eng | |
| vance | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average Good Excellent | nalyse the eng | |
| vance P | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average Good excellent for implementation in pr | nalyse the eng | |
| vance P F A | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average Good Excellent for implementation in proor air verage | nalyse the eng | |
| vance P F A | Excellent s sufficient to make you a Poor Fair Average Good excellent for implementation in proor | nalyse the eng | gineeri |

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Average Good Excellent

Good Excellent

Page Z of 3

| _ | | |
|---|--|--|
| _ | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Average | |
|---------|--|
| | |
| | |

J. Give suggestions (or improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

Page 3 of 3





FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 2/03/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | |
|---------------|-------------------|
| | BD Agel Mutails |
| Department | |
| Academic Year | |
| | 2020-2021 |
| Semester | B. Tech 1111 Cem. |
| | D. was VIII Sew . |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| Fair | | | |
| Average | | - | |
| Good | | | - |
| Excellent | • | | |
| | | | |

Page 1 of 3

| C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations | C. Applicabi | lity/relevance to | real life situations |
|--|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|--|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

| Poor | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| Fair | | |
| Average | 0 | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | |

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | 1 |
| Good | 1 |
| Excellent | |

H. Compatibility with industry standards

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | 1 |
| Average | 1 |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



ITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం. మందల్ – 405.019.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 9/1/21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | / |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | 1 |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | Feroz shaik |
|---------------|--------------------|
| Department | Cirol Engineering. |
| Academic Year | 2020 -2021 |
| Semester | B. Tech VIII sem |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|---|
| Agree | V |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | V |
| Excellent | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Practical From Knowledge like

Industrial Trace should be officer.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science

gal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్డాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం, _{మందల్ –}90६089.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02 - 02 - 2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | - |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | _ |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | U. Amsha | |
|---------------|-------------------|--|
| Department | Civil-Engineering | |
| Academic Year | 20 -21 | |
| Semester | eth_ | |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|---|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | - |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | | |
|-----------|----|---|
| Fair | | |
| Average | _* | |
| Good | | - |
| Excellent | | |

| - | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| \mathbf{C} | Applicability | | | |
| C. | Applicability | / 4010 | waal lifa | cituations |
| | r rucabilli v | relevance to | real me | Situation |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |
| | |

E. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

H. Compatibility with industry standards

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | U |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science

Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్డాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, మంద్ర – ५०६०१५.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-02-202)

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | SITULASI |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Department | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| Academic Year | 2020-21 |
| Semester | VIII |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | \ |

| C. | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | | | |
|----|---|------|--|--|
| | | Poor | | |
| | | Fair | | |
| | | A | | |

| Fair | |
|-----------|--|
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|---------|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | <u></u> |

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

| Poor | 7 1 |
|-----------|-----|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | _ |
| Excellent | V |

H. Compatibility with industry standards

| Poor | |
|-----------|----------|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | <u> </u> |
| Excellent | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signa

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, _{మందల్ –}90६ 0**१**9,

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-02-2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | V 1.04010 |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Department | Y. LAKSHMI REDDY |
| Academic Year | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| Semester | 2020-21 |
| | |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|---|
| Agree | - |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| | | Poor | | |
|----|-----------|---|------------------------------|------------------|
| | | Fair | | |
| | | Average | | |
| | | Good | | |
| | | Excellent | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Γ |). Depth | of the course content | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Poor | | |
| | | Fair | | |
| | | Average | | |
| | | Good | | |
| | | Excellent | | |
| | | | | |
| 12 | Enterna | -6.1 | | |
| Ľ | . Extent | of the coverage of course | | |
| | | Poor | | |
| | | Fair | | |
| | | Average | | |
| | | Good | | |
| | | Excellent | | |
| | | 2.Accrem | | |
| F | . Syllabu | is is sufficient to make you are l | | |
| | solution | is is sufficient to make you analy n | yse the engineering problems | and its suitable |
| | | | | |
| | | Poor | | |
| | | Fair | | |
| | | Average | - | |
| | | Good | | |
| | | Excellent | | |
| | | | | |
| | D I | | | |
| G. | Kelevan | ce for implementation in project | ts . | |
| | | Poor | | |
| | | Fair | | |
| | | Average | | |
| | | Good | | |

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Excellent

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |
| | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

-NIL-

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warengal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE uncler Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్డాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం. మందర్ – 905,014

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-02-202

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | V |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | K. RAHUL |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Department | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| Academic Year | 2020-2021 |
| Semester | VIII |

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|--------------------|---|
| Agree | V |
| Neutral | |
| Not Agree | |
| Strongly not Agree | |

 B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | executed SSR-Angle (SSR-ACC) CART ACCES |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | AND |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| C. | Applicability/relevance to real life situations |
|----|---|
|----|---|

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | ~ |
| | |

D. Depth of the course content

| Poor | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| Fair | | |
| Average | | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | - | |
| | | |

E. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | - | |
|-----------|---|--|
| Fair | | |
| Average | | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | |
| | | |

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

| Poor | . 4 () (4) (4) (4) |
|-----------|------------------------|
| | 2 - 12 - 1 Village |
| Fair | 1 |
| Average | 1 20 |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |
| | |

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

| Poor | | |
|-----------|----|-------|
| Fair | .1 | |
| Average | | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | V |
| | | |

H. Compatibility with industry standards

| Poor | - |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | - |
| | |

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

-Nil.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (Ass AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, _{మందల్ –}90६019.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 14-02-2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | Snyein Varma K |
|--------------------------|---|
| Department | |
| Designation | Asst professor |
| Academic Year | 2020-21 |
| Courses you are teaching | Repair & Rehabilitation of Stouctures Engineering |

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

| Poor (1) | |
|---------------|---|
| Fair (2) | |
| Average (3) | |
| Good (4) | |
| Excellent (5) | V |
| | |

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |
| | |

| C. | Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours? |
|----|---|
|----|---|

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

| Poor | | |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| Fair | | |
| Average | . Francisco | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | |

F. The present syllabus is

| Poor | 188418 | 2 |
|-----------|--------|---|
| Fair | | |
| Average | | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | |

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Fair | | |
| Average | - | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | 1/ |
| | | |

H. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | V |
| Excellent | |
| | |

J. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | | |
|-----------|---|---|
| Fair | • | |
| Average | | |
| Good | | V |
| Excellent | | |

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

| - Morre | Industry | rolevant | - topis | need to ! | se included |
|-----------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| - Practic | of met | rode and | troung | - should | be included |

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA.(An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం, మందల్ – 408,08 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 14-02-2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | N. Snikanth |
|--------------------------|---|
| Department | Asst. Professor |
| Designation | Civil Engineering |
| Academic Year | 2020-21 |
| Courses you are teaching | |
| | Bassie Engineering Mechanics Strength of Materials |

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

| Poor (1) | |
|---------------|---|
| Fair (2) | |
| Average (3) | |
| Good (4) | V |
| Excellent (5) | |

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards / current global scenarios and academics?

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | 2 |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

| | Fair | | |
|------------|---|--|--|
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | <u> </u> | | I |
| | | | |
| D | Sufficient reference material and books a | are available f | or the tonics mentioned in the |
| D. | | are available i | of the topics mentioned in the |
| | syllabus? | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | | | 1.75.72 |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| E. | The evaluation methods mentioned in the | ne curriculum | are sufficient for providing |
| | proper assessment? | | |
| | | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | 2/10021011 | | |
| | | | |
| F. | The present syllabus is | | |
| 1. | The present of has as as | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | | | |
| D (| and the following | nointe: | |
| Kate 1 | your course syllabus on the following | points. | |
| C | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, | knowledge an | alutical abilities or broadening |
| G. | | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | and the second s |
| | perspectives) | | |
| | Poor | | |
| | | | |
| | Fair | | |
| | Average | | |
| | Good | | |
| | Excellent | | |
| | | | |

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor

H. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | • |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | _ |

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

| Gnd | Justory | oriented | mmeric | al p | roblems | lan | be |
|-----|---------|----------|--------|------|---------|-----|-------------|
| | - | the | | • | | | Λ |
| | | | V | | | | placements. |

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warrangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warrangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, మందల్ – 408 08 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02-0

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | (|
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | Elma Comar Age 52 |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| Educational | Occupation |
| Qualification | Bruh. Sulmes. |
| Name of Ward | Parch Perman. |
| Department & | |
| Class | Christ engineering, VIII Sem |
| | |

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

| C. | Curriculum helps | in | developing | personality | of ' | vour | ward |
|----|------------------|----|------------|-------------|------|------|------|
|----|------------------|----|------------|-------------|------|------|------|

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

D. Curriculum enhances employability

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | 1 |
| Strongly Disagree | |

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Good

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal - 506 01%, Telangana, INDIA.(An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్జాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం, మందల్ – 90६089.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|----|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | .~ |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

| I tense groe jourouting |) trettitiet | | | | | A ~~ | C > |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------|--------|-----------|---|------|-----|
| Name | E, Ramaewa | ~y · | | - / | | Age | 35 |
| Educational | Degnee. | Occupation | Rly. | Employee | * | | |
| Qualification | 0 | | | | | | |
| Name of Ward | E. Sumith. | | | | | | |
| Department & | Civil Enginee | wing, B | · Tech | VIII. Sem | • | | |
| Class | V | · | | A to La | | | N. |

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

D. Curriculum enhances employability

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |
| | |

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | - |
| Strongly Disagree | |
| | |

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |
| | |

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

ren .



Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (ArrAUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | , |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | / |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | HCC LED |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Name of Organisation You | |
| belong to (Address) | thechid |
| Designation | Manger-BCD |
| Contact Details | 9959204061 |

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (*in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities*) in students?

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | - |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | - |
| Excellent | |

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

By llatons as per undresty enginem

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|---|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | V |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | E. Supriya |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Name of Organisation You | TO 2 2 |
| belong to (Address) | ERA Group. |
| Designation | HR |
| Contact Details | 98495 234 |
| | |

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (*in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities*) in students?

| Poor | |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | |
| Average | / |
| Good | |
| Excellent | , |

B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

| Poor | |
|-----------|-----|
| Fair | |
| Average | . / |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

- Nil.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



te of Technology & Science IDIA (As AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE usaka Kakatiya Un కాకతీయ సొంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం _{కాండ -}900.00

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | 1× |
|------------------------|----|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | - |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | Class cools |
|--|------------------|
| Department Studied during (Acad Years) | Chi andhar prace |
| Presently employed at | 8050-8051 |
| Contact Details | lari sucan pol. |
| | 6305201161 |
| lease rate the following | |

Please rate the following points:

A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | V |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

| C | The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students we | as excellent |
|---|--|--------------|
|---|--|--------------|

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | 1 |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| - |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |

F. The syllabus was

| Challenging | |
|-------------|--|
| Adequate | |
| Inadequate | |
| Dull | |
| Irrelevant | |
| | |

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| Highly Relevant | |
|---------------------|--|
| Relevant | |
| Partly Relevant | |
| Mostly Relevant | |
| Completely Relevant | |

| H. | Has vour | time at KITS | N been inte | ellectually | enriching? |
|----|----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
|----|----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|

| Yes | |
|------------|--|
| No | |
| Marginally | |

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

K. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

L. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | | |
|-----------|-----|--|
| Fair | | |
| Average | · / | |
| Good | | |
| Excellent | | |

M. Extent of effort required by the students

| Poor | |
|------|---|
| Fair | V |

| Average | |
|-----------|--|
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

O. Overall rating

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

-NiV-

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Signature (C'S Arasad)



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science

Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA.(An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, చరందల్ - 408 08 4.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | \(\) |
|------------------------|--------------|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

| Tiense free fortowing neums. | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Name | |
| Department | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| Studied during (Acad Years) | 2020-31 |
| Presently employed at | |
| Contact Details | |

Please rate the following points:

A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | 1 |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | 1 |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం, మంద్ర – 90६ 0१५.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

| Student | |
|------------------------|--|
| Teacher | |
| Employer | |
| Parent | |
| Alumni | |
| Other (Please specify) | |

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

| Name | P Saluth Roday |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Department | CIVIL ENGINEERING |
| Studied during (Acad Years) | 2020-31 |
| Presently employed at | |
| | |
| Contact Details | |
| | |

Please rate the following points:

A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | 1 |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

| C. The couns | seling & guidance provided by the | e faculties to all students was excellent |
|----------------|---|---|
| | Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree | |
| D. The library | facilities provided by the college | e was excellent |

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|--|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

| Strongly Agree | |
|-------------------|---|
| Agree | |
| Neutral | 1 |
| Disagree | |
| Strongly Disagree | |
| | |

F. The syllabus was

| Challenging | |
|-------------|---|
| Adequate | • |
| Inadequate | |
| Dull | |
| Irrelevant | |

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| Highly Relevant | |
|---------------------|--|
| Relevant | |
| Partly Relevant | |
| Mostly Relevant | |
| Completely Relevant | |
| | |

| H. | Has your | time at KITSW been intellectuall | y enriching? |
|----|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | | Yes | |
| | | NT - | |

| Yes | |
|------------|--|
| No | |
| Marginally | |

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

K. Depth of the course content

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

L. Extent of the coverage of course

| Poor | |
|-----------|--|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

M. Extent of effort required by the students

| Poor | |
|------|--|
| Fair | |

| Average | |
|-----------|--|
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

| Poor | |
|-----------|------|
| Fair | |
| Average | |
| Good | V |
| Excellent | |

O. Overall rating

| Poor | - |
|-----------|---|
| Fair | _ |
| Average | |
| Good | |
| Excellent | |

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

_ NiL-

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.