

Date: 17-12-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curricular teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses. its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	, ,
Teacher	/
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	
(rease specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Kasara s	
Department	Kasam Sanjuna CSE(N)	
Academic Year	2021-2029	
Semester	Memesta	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	R
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	~
Excellent	

C.	Applicability	relevance to real life situations
----	---------------	-----------------------------------

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	19 144
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

D	50 60
Poor.	. 2
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	
	6

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Required lob for Advance Data structure. If possible 10T lab should be in urth or 5th Sem

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Date: MILY

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	T
Teacher	-
Employer	,
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	
Ties (Ficase specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Theuse give journaing we	utts.	1 44	
Name	KOTHA VENNELA	3	j.
Department	CSE(N)		e desired
Academic Year	2021- 29		
Semester	V Demester	,	Ē

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree		
Agree		
Neutral	W.	
Not Agree		
Strongly not Agree		

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<u></u>

	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	ne	
	on to real life situation	113	
	Applicability/relevance		٦
<u> </u>	Аррисис		_
100	Poor		
1.	Fair		
	Average		
	Good		1
	Excellent		1
	hant		
D.	Depth of the course content		
]
:	Poor		
	Fair		
	Average		
	Good		,
*	Excellent	\sim	
-			
E.	Extent of the coverage of course		
	D		1
	Poor		
1	Fair		
1	Average		
	Good		,
į	Excellent		
E	Cullab		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse solution	the enginee	ring problems and its suitable
i .	solution		
	Poor		
d)	Fair	1	
+	· · ·		
4	Average Good		
-	Excellent		
Į.	Executiv	1	
G.	Relevance for implementation in projects		
2	r projects		
	Poor		
į	Fair		
Ì	Average		7 2
1 .	Good		
	Excellent		
	Excellent	-	
н		(
H.		-	
Н.	Compatibility with industry standards	-	
Н.	Compatibility with industry standards Poor		
Н.	Compatibility with industry standards Poor Fair	-	
H.	Compatibility with industry standards Poor Fair Average	-	
H.	Compatibility with industry standards Poor Fair Average Good		
H.	Compatibility with industry standards Poor Fair Average		

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

K. Venneley Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Date: 24.12 7

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	+

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Reethika vaddi	
Department	CSE(N)	•
Academic Year	2021-28	
Semester	VtBemeen	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

Poor	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Fair		
Average		
Good		
Excellent		

Av Av

Fair Average Good Excellent

	Applicability/relevance to real life situations
C.	Applicability/relevance to real and
Ţ	
	Poor
	Fair
	Average
	Good
	Excellent
y	
D.	Depth of the course content
-	Poor
	Fair
	Average
	Good
	Excellent
C	Extent of the coverage of course
С.	Extent of the coverage of course
	Poor
(Fair
	Average
	Good
	Excellent
	Excenent
F.	Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engine
,	Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution
-	solution
	Poor
	Fair
	Average
	Good
44	Excellent
G.	Relevance for implementation in projects
	in projects
	Poor
	Fair
**	Average
	Good
	Excellent
Η.	Compatibility with industry standards
٠,	Poor
	Fair
	Average
	Good
	Excellent

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	7

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

There should be any advanced course on computer networks along with practical sessions like lab.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Date: 17-12-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	+
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Adithi Rojashri Shitta
Department	(SF(N)
Academic Year	2021 - 29
Semester	Hamerter

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

	Poor	
	Fair	
	Average	
	Good	
	Excellent	
	Excellent	
Dep	th of the course content	
•		
	Poor	
	Fair	
	Average	
	Good	1
	Excellent	
Ext	ent of the coverage of course	
	Poor	
	Fair	to the second second
	Average	
	Good	1000
	Excellent	
	labus is sufficient to make you a	nalyse the engineering
sol	ution	
	D	W.
	Poor	960
		- 10 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	Fair	
	Fair Average	
	Fair Average Good	
	Fair Average	
	Fair Average Good	
	Fair Average Good Excellent	weigete.
. Rel	Fair Average Good	rojects
Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p	rojects
. Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p	rojects
Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p Poor Fair	rojects
Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p Poor Fair Average	rojects
Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p Poor Fair Average Good	rojects
Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p Poor Fair Average	rojects
Rel	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p Poor Fair Average Good	rojects
	Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent	
	Fair Average Good Excellent evance for implementation in p Poor Fair Average Good	
	Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent	

Fair

Average Good Excellent

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

as we are doing special course on Networks in CSE

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Date: 24.1221

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	<u></u>
Parent	AND TO THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS
Alumni	*
Other (Please specify)	Table 1

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sravan Reddy. M	-1	
Department	(SE(N)	V.	
Academic Year	2014-99	Åi .	
Semester	Visingstw		

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C.	Applicability/relevance to real life	situations	
-	Poor		
†	Fair		
1	Average		
1	Good		
	Excellent		
i			
1			
D.	Depth of the course content		
1	- 1		
į.	Poor		
1	Fair		
	Average		
1	Good		
į	Excellent		
	3		
ì	10 APR		
E.	Extent of the coverage of course		
+			
	Poor		
	Fair		
1	Average		
- 1	Good		
1.	Excellent		
*	\$ 0 th		
			blome and its suitable
F.	Syllabus is sufficient to make you ar	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
F.	Syllabus is sufficient to make you ar	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
F.	Syllabus is sufficient to make you ar solution	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
F.	Syllabus is sufficient to make you ar solution Poor	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
F.	solution	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
F.	Poor Fair	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
	solution	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
F.	Poor Fair Average Good	nalyse the engineering pro	blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in pro-		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in pro		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in pro Poor Fair		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in pro Poor Fair Average		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Cood Average Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Goo		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in pro Poor Fair Average		blems and its suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Cood Average Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Goo		blems and its suitable
G	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent	pjects	blems and its suitable
G	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standar	pjects	blems and its suitable
G	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent	pjects	blems and its suitable
G	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standar	pjects	blems and its suitable
G	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standar Poor Fair Average	pjects	blems and its suitable
G	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Average Food Excellent Compatibility with industry standar Poor Fair	pjects	blems and its suitable

Poor
Fair
Average
Good
Excellent

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Latte required Advaced topics on

Computer Networks

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.





Date: 17-12-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
in this talk the second	
Employer	A LANGUAGE CONT.
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	1/22
Department	Kasana Vishny Vardhan 12eddy
Academic Year	2021-29
Semester	Memerin

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

C. A	applicability/relevance to real life situations		
	Poor		
+	Fair		
11.	Average		
	Good		
	Excellent		
1	Excellent		
D. I	Depth of the course content		
-			
	Poor		
9000	Fair		
	Average		
100	Good		
- 1	Excellent		
1			
-			
E.	Extent of the coverage of course		
1	Down		
1	Poor Fair		
	Average Good		
	Excellent		
	Excelent		
1_	• ·		
F	Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering solution	ng problems and its	suitable
F	solution	ng problems and its	suitable
F	Poor	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average	ng problems and its	suitable
	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good	ng problems and its	suitable
A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standards	ng problems and its	suitable
And the second s	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standards Poor	ng problems and its	suitable
A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standards	ng problems and its	suitable
A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standards Poor Fair Average	ng problems and its	suitable
A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Relevance for implementation in projects Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Compatibility with industry standards Poor Fair	ng problems and its	suitable

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	
	/

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Better to have industrial visits to have more exprosure on real-time work.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	1
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	
(specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

z remet gree jerre terri	& uctuits.			
Name	Ch. Niranja	<u> </u>	Age	19
Educational	,	Occupation	7150	7
Qualification	0	occupation		
Name of Ward	ch. Ritavila			
Department &				
Class	CSE(N)			

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	V
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Strong	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree Strongly Disagree	
Strongly Disco	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	V
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

	. /
Strongly Agree	-
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	V
Agree	•
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

need to concentrate more in sofeskills.

Mew tools should be used to improve programmystylly

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

-

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P. Ram Reddy Age 51
Educational	Occupation
Qualification	- Soupanoss
Name of Ward	P. Vivek Reddy
Department &	CSE (N)
Class	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward	
A TYPE	
Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
D. Curriculum enhances employability	
Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems	
Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
0	
F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum	
Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
Strongry Disagree	
G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syll	abus revision)
More concentration should be found on Training	y placement like
More concentration should be focused on Training technical and personal inte	robents

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.





Date: 13.12.21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	,1	
Teacher		,/
Employer		
Parent		
Alumni		
Other (Please specify)		
· peeny)		

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	13. Empires
Department	CLE
Designation	Acor . Purch
Academic Year	The property of the property o
Courses you are teaching	DAA

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	V
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	V
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours? Poor Fair Average Good Excellent D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus? Poor Fair Average Good Excellent E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment? Poor Fair Average Good Excellent F. The present syllabus is Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Rate your course syllabus on the following points: G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening Poor Fair Average Good Excellent

Н.	Depth	of	the	course	content
----	-------	----	-----	--------	---------

Poor	1
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor		
Fair		
Average	Ţ.	
Good		V
Excellent		

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	,,

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

entine Courses learners should be encourage like moc courses

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE warangal - 506 015, Felangana, INDIA (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE midler Kakatiya University, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం, కనంగల్ - 406.08 4

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 16.12.21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sai Rama kedelina
Department	CSE(N)
Designation	DESISTANT PROFESON
Academic Year	2020-2021
Courses you are teaching	C, DS, ADS, java

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor		
Fair	and the second s	
Average		
Good		
Excellent		

1	y coverage of syllabus possible	in tł	ne mentioned purel	(1 2
C Is the time	v coverage of synds			er of hours?
C. Is the time				
	Poor			
	Fair			
	Average			
	Good			
	Excellent			
	t hooks are	0 257	ailable (1 1
D Sufficient	reference material and books are	cav	anable for the topic	s mentioned in the
syllabus?				
_				
\ \	Poor			
1	Fair			
	Average	+		
	Good	+		
	Excellent			
	uation methods mentioned in the	e (11	rriculum are sufficie	ent for providing
				attion provides
proper a	sessment?			
	Poor	\top		
	Fair	+		
		+		
	Average Good	+		
	Excellent	+		
	Excellent			
•	-			
F. The pres	ent syllabus is			
gang constitution	Poor	\neg		
C	Fair	+		
i di	Average	+		
i -	Good	+		
}	Excellent	+		
	DACCHER			
D				
Kate your con	rse syllabus on the following	g po	oints:	
				hilities or broadening
G. Learin	g value (in terms of skills, concept	ts, kı	nowledge, analyticul a	8
perspec	1000)			
à Î	Poor			
	Fair			
	Average			
	Good			
1	Excellent			
	par			

H.	Depth of the course	COntone
----	---------------------	---------

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	1

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	. 6

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

There Should be a lab for Advanced Data Structures which his there is 3rd Seron otherwise it will be difficult for TAPA paracheal approvach

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.