



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17-12-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Teacher	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alumni	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Kasam Sanjuna
Department	CSE(N)
Academic Year	2021-2022
Semester	V Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strongly not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Required lab for Advance Data structure. If possible
IoT lab should be in 4th or 5th Sem

Sanjiv
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17-12-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Teacher	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alumni	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	KOTHA VENNELA
Department	CSE(N)
Academic Year	2021-22
Semester	V Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strongly not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

We do not have lab for ADS, better to include lab

K. Vennaley
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 24.12.23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Teacher	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alumni	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Reethika Vaddi
Department	CSE(N)
Academic Year	2022-23
Semester	V Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strongly not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

There should be any advanced course on computer networks along with practical sessions like lab.

Reethika
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17-12-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Teacher	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alumni	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Adithi Rajashri Chitta
Department	CSE(N)
Academic Year	2022 - 23
Semester	V Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strongly not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

*we required advanced topics on computer networks
as we are doing special course on Networks
in CSE.*

R
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 24.12.21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Teacher	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alumni	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sraavan Reddy. M
Department	(SE(N))
Academic Year	2021-22
Semester	5th Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strongly not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

More required Advanced topics on
computer networks


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17-12-21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Teacher	<input type="checkbox"/>
Employer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alumni	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (Please specify)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Kasana Vishnu Vardhan Reddy
Department	1-08 CSE (N)
Academic Year	2021-22
Semester	V Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strongly not Agree	<input type="checkbox"/>

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>
Average	<input type="checkbox"/>
Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Better to have industrial visits to
have more exposure on real-time
work.

Vishu K

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Ch. Niranjan		Age	49
Educational Qualification	B	Occupation		
Name of Ward	Ch. Ritvik			
Department & Class	CSE(N)			

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Need to concentrate more on soft skills.
new tools should be used to improve programming skills.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	✓
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P. Ram Reddy		Age	51
Educational Qualification		Occupation		
Name of Ward	P. Vivek Reddy			
Department & Class	CSE (N)			

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

*More concentration should be focused on Training, placement like
technical and personal interviews*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18.12.21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	B. Srinivas
Department	CCE
Designation	Asst. Prof
Academic Year	
Courses you are teaching	DAA

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

online courses learners should be
encourage like more courses


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 16.12.21

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sai Rama Krishna
Department	CSE(N)
Designation	Assistant Professor
Academic Year	2020-2021
Courses you are teaching	C, DS, ADS, java

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

There should be a lab for Advanced Data Structures which lies there in 3rd Sem. otherwise it will be difficult for T&P & practical approach


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.