

506 015, Telangana, IP:DIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University. Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్లాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, మండ -^{ఆంకంక}ి

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 14-5-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	V
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following details:	00 1/ 1/10 1/1
Name	m. Yaswanth
Department	ECE 2000
Academic Year	2018 - 2022
Semester	<u> </u>

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

and the second second second second second	
Strongly Agree	
Agree	<u> </u>
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

And the second of the second o	a part of the same of	
Poor-		
Fair	and a first the same of the same of	
Average	the same of the sa	-
Good	a ya masa aliyar isaali da	
Excellent		

Page 1 of 3

	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	ms
C	Applicability/relevance to	

,	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	V
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

	=
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	34

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	1
Good	
Excellent	

Poor	Γ΄
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

M Y98 Signaturé

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, IN:DIA. (An AUTONOVIOUS INSTITUTE under Keikeitige University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, మంగల్ – ५०६०१५.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 11/01/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:		
Name	G. Sai Sathrik	
Department	ECE	
Academic Year	2022	
Semester	8-fi	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	~
Average	
Good	•
Excellent	

		to real life situat	ions	
C. Appl	icability/relevance	W 1011 111 2		
	Poor			•
	Fair			
•	Average			
	Good			• "
	Excellent			- 4
D. Dep	h of the course con	tent		
	:			•
	Poor Fair			•
	Average			
	Good		1.	•
	Excellent			
	_ :	•		
E. Exter	nt of the coverage o	of course		
	Poor			
	Fair		-	
	Average	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	· ·
	Good			
	Excellent		-	
soluti	Poor		_	
	Fair	•		g 1, 1 ~ 7 11 72 1 - 1 - 1
	Average	0.3 %	0.341 95 1034 4.	
	Good		~	, per limit i e
e eine d	Excellent			
e suits	y 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		and the first state	
			6. ·	
G. Releva	nce for implemen	tation in projects		
•	Poor		,401 N	
	Fair			•
	Average			
	Average	1		
	Good	1		
				propries Constitution
H. Compa	Good	try standards		er evoj me o Ume od 1 e
H. Compa	Good Excellent tibility with indus	- itry standards		
H. Compa	Good Excellent tibility with indus	try standards		
H. Compa	Good Excellent tibility with indus Poor Fair	try standards		
H. Compa	Good Excellent ibility with indus Poor Fair Average	try standards		
H. Compa	Good Excellent tibility with indus Poor Fair	try standards		

Poor	, , ,
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

No Suggestions required live are absoultely happy with our College Syllabou revision

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Waxingal - 506 015, Telangana, It:DIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiga University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, _{మందర్ –}906084.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 26/07/2012.

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	V .
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following actions.	
Name	Bothi Gai Alhiram
Department	F.(.+
Academic Year	2018 - 2022
Semester	\sqrt{n}

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	The state of the s	
Fair	the second secon	
Average		
Good		
Excellent	and the second second second second	

C. Applica	bility/relevance to real life situation		
•			
	Poor	·	• 1
	Fair	<u>:</u>	• 1
	Average		•
	Good		
	Excellent		
D. Depth o	of the course content		
	Poor		•
	Fair		
	Good		
	Excellent		, ,
•	Excellent		
E. Extent o	of the coverage of course		
	Poor		
	Fair		
	Average		
•	Good		
,	Excellent		
solution	s is sufficient to make you analyse t		
	Poor		
	Fair		•
	Average	, · /	
	Good		•
	Excellent	, 'v	
	Execution		7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
G. Relevan	ce for implementation in projects.	•	
	Poor		
	Fair		•
	Average	/	ē ·
	Good		
,	Excellent		, .
H. Compati	bility with industry standards		
	Poor	11	•
	Fair		
	Average		1
	Good	1 P. Sanj	1
	Excellent		
-	L 2	7,110	93° • 1,= 1

41	•	
Poor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fair		
Average		
Good	-	
Excellent		

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science

Warangal – 306 015, Talangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE innder Kakatiya Universitiy, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, జంది -^{ఆంధర}ిక

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 14/05

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	V
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni ·	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following details:	
Name	D. Savarth guptha Electronice and Communication Engineering.
Department	Electronice and comminuous of
Academic-Year -	2018-22
Semester	8th

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	V
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	1 2 2 20 1

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Fe. t		
Poor	new per training the second of	
Fair	The state of the s	V
Average	N	
Good	and the second second	
Excellent		

	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	ons
	A liashility/relevance to real incom	
C.	Applicability/Televille	

Poor		V
Fair		-
Average	-	
Good		
Excellent	<u>.</u>	

D. -Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	. V
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	./
Average	V
Good	
Excellent —	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	100
Fair_	100
Average	· V
Good	¥
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Average	
Good	V :
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor		
Fair		
Average	e proposition of the second second second	
Good	an garage and the	V
Excellent		and other production

Poor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fair	
Average	
.Good	V
Excellent	1.

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



ISTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Varangal - 506 015, Telangana, It:DIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Wasangal) පාජම්ಯ බෟංජිමජ හනුත් පාසු හකැලරණං, ඎ "ඉංදෙද

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 08 05 22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form,

Please select your category:

Va Jar
7.
':

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Please give following details:	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Name	Vounshilea -Annaldous
Department	ECE
Academic Year	2.022
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral "	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor		
l'air 💮		
Average		
Good		
Excellent	Jan 18 Carlotte St. Carlotte St	

Page 1 of 3

C 100	licability/relevance to real life situ	ations	
С. Арр	incability , to a		
	Poor		
	Fair		•
	Average		•
	Good		•
	Excellent		
		•	•
D. Dept	th of the course content		
	Poor		•
	Fair		
9			
	Average		
	Excellent		
	<u>-</u> •		9
E. Exter	nt of the coverage of course		• •
	Poor		:
	Fair		
•	Average		•
. !	Good		
	Excellent		
			
	ous is sufficient to make you analy	yse the engineerin	g problems and its suital
F. Syllab solutio	Poor	yse the engineerin	g problems and its suitab
	Poor Fair		g problems and its suitab
	Poor Fair Average		g problems and its suitab
	Poor Fair Average Good		g problems and its suitab
	Poor Fair Average		g problems and its suital
solutio	Poor Fair Average Good		g problems and its suital
solutio	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent		g problems and its suitab
solutio	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent ance for implementation in project		g problems and its suitab
solutio	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Ince for implementation in project		g problems and its suitab
solutio	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Pair		g problems and its suitab
solutio	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Average		g problems and its suitab
solution	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Cood Cood Cood Cood Cood Cood Cood Coo		g problems and its suitab
solution	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Cood Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent		g problems and its suitab
solution	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Cood Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Atibility with industry standards Poor		g problems and its suitab
solution	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Cood Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Atibility with industry standards Poor Fair		g problems and its suital
solution	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Atibility with industry standards Poor Fair Average		g problems and its suital
solution	Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Poor Fair Average Cood Excellent Poor Fair Average Good Excellent Atibility with industry standards Poor Fair		g problems and its suital

[n	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	-

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Good

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 21 -05-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Vankamamidi Aditya Bhogav
Department	ece
Academic Year	
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	2
Agree	+ 9: ±
Neutral	✓
Not Agree	7
Strongly not Agree	

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	V-104

_	Applicability/relevance to	real	life	situatio	ns
\mathcal{C}	Applicability/relevance to	real	me	Situau	

Poor	
Fair	
-Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent .	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor		
Fair		- \
Average		✓
Good	THE PERSON NAMED IN	
Excellent		

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	25.410.5
Fair	
Average	j.
Good	••
Excellent .	7 - 1 34 - 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	- 1	
Fair		
Average	. I - E Sprage was a	The state of the s
Good		
Excellent	The state of the same of the same of	Marie Strate Passes of the

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	-

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)
While designing the Syllabus make sure Syllabus is short and
Crisp and depth 4 real life implementations are more.

1.44BGV Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



Kakatiya Institute of Technology & Science

Warangal – 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE: uncler Kokatiya University. Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్లాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, మంది - కంకి కి.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

13/5/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Bolly Gayatton
Department	FCE .
Academic Year	2021-22
Semester	8 th

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	V
Agree	
Neutral	- · ·
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	e in the fall of the	
Fair	The Profession	
Average	and the second	and the second
Good .	The second second	
Excellent		~

	icability/relevance to real life situ		
	LA.		
	Fair		
	Arerige	The second secon	
	Govd	and the same of th	
	Excellent	The state of the s	
			× .
Dep	th of the course content		
	MAN,		
	Kair		
	41511816,		
	Good		
	Excellent		
	properties of the appropriate out appropriate processes in a comment		
	Poor Fair		
	Visites		
	Good	Commence of the Commence of th	
	Excellent		
`	A MALON TO SECULIA DE COMPANO DE COMPANO. DE COMPANO DE	And Million and Annual Control Control Control Control	
Svilla	ibus is sufficient to make you ar	alyse the engineering proble	ems and its suit
solut			
ee.u.			
	Poor		
	Pair	ALCOHOLD TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	
	Fair Average		
	Avenue		
. Y.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Good		
	Average Good Excellent		
Rele	Good	yèce ts	
Rele	Average Good Excellent vance for implementation in pr	Application of the control of the co	
Rele	Average Good Excellent vance for implementation in pre		
Rele	Average Good Excellent vance for implementation in pre Poor Fair		
Rele	Average Good Excellent vance for implementation in pre Poor Fair Average		
Rele	Average Good Excellent vance for implementation in pre Poor Fair		

Poor	·
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Gayathrû Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



. IP.DIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Wasangal కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్యాలయం, _{మంగల్ –}५०६०१५,

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher —	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	9
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	BANDA ANUSHA
Department	ECE
Academic Year	2021-22
Semester	W

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree		
Agree	-	-
Neutral		-
Not Agree .		
Strongly not Agree		

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	and the second of the second o
Good	
Excellent	

	to largence to real life situation	S
C.	Applicability/relevance to real life situation	·

Poor	 	4.
Fair	 	
Average		
Good		
Excellen		

D. Depth of the course content

		V
Poor		
Fair		·
Average		
Good		
Excellent	·	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor		
Fair.		
Average	3	
Good	, .i. ·	
Excellent		

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

	4	1 1
Poor	0 2 4 7 3	nd.
Fair	: ,	iv.
Average		
Good	TAYS - B.	1 11 /
Excellent		V

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

•	
Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	V

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor		11
Fair -	ge flygger kannedy fire	
Average	the same of the sa	grade al la se
Good		1
Excellent		

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Anusha Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, II:DIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University. Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక బిజ్జాన శాస్త్ర బిద్వాలయం, మందటి - 90६ 08 4

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10/6/2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student.		
Teacher		
Employer		
Parent		
Alumni	•	1 1 7 H H
Other (Please specify)		

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Pleuse give jouourng actions.	
Name	Kummamyru L.N. Bharadwaj
Department	ELE
Academic Year .	2018-2022
Semester .	8th

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	10 mg 1 mg
Agree ·	
Neutral	
Not Agree	t.
Strongly not Agree	No. of the

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor		. Jorg Ask a see
Fair		
Average	\J	
Good		V
Excellent		

		a:matio	ns
	devance to real lit	e situado	
C. A	Applicability/relevance to real lif		

	,	
I	Poor	
	air	
	Average	V
(Good	
	Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	 V
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor		
Fair		
Average		
Good	and the	1 V
Excellent		

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

			_
Poor	410.	18.2	77
Fair ·		.41	e'
Average			
Good	1		
Excellent	•		1

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor -	-
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent -	1.0

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good .	
Excellent	

AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
Poor	The second secon
SECRETARION OF CONTRACT OF STREET	
Fair	
STREET, STREET	To the comment was a second or secon
Average	and the second of the second o
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Clarit Terrans
Good	
CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	NAME OF THE PARTY
Excellent	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
THE GETT GETT	
The state of the s	WATER THE BOTTOM STORES AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE Warrangal - 506 015, Telangana, IP:DIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE mixler Knikatiya Universitiy, Warangal) కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం, వరందర్ - 406 08 4

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	1
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

I tense give jouoting weiming	
Name	G. Mano Tejaswini
Department	ECE
Academic Year	2018-2022
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	V
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

Page 1 of 3

	to real life	situations			
C.	Applicability/relevance to real life				
	Poor		-		
	Fair				
	Average				
	Good				
	Excellent				
of the 11th					
D.	Depth of the course content				
	Poor				
	Fair	· ·			
	Average		/		
	Good			•	
	Excellent				
					**
E. E	xtent of the coverage of course				
	Poor				
	Fair				
	Average				
	Good		1.0		
	Excellent			•	
•	Excellent		<u>V</u>		
	ution	yarı -		•	
	•	1100			
	Average				
٠.	Good	- 13 p. 10 p. 10 p. 10	/		
	Excellent				• •
	2ACCANOTE				
C Pol	evance for implementation in pr	rojects			
G. Neit	evance for implementation in pr				
	Poor				
	Fair				
	Average				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Good.		31		
٠.	Excellent				
				•	
H. Com	patibility with industry standa	rds	· Maria		•
	Poor				
	Fair				
	Average		no e		
	Good	-,	2 Fig. 12		
			7		
•	Excellent				

Poor	the state of the s	
Vair	And the second s	
Average	Harmon Control of the State of	
Good	And the second second second second	
Excellent	The same of the sa	~

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

G.Namo Tejasvivi-Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.